

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,642	01/27/2004	Leonid Yabloko	100498-1U1	1158	
570 AKIN GUMP	7590 11/28/2007 STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
ONE COMME	RCE SQUARE	.DD D.D.1 ,	LE, THU NGUYET T		
2005 MARKE PHILADELPH	T STREET, SUITE 220 IA, PA 19103	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2162		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			<u> </u>					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/765,642	YABLOKO, LEONID					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thu-Nguyet Le	2162					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Sec</u>							
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate. 2007.1119					

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action has been issued in response to amendment file 21 September 2007. Claims 1-18 are pending in this Office Action. Accordingly, this action has been made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogasawara (US 2001/0018671).

With respect to claim 1, Ogasawara discloses a method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes, the method comprising:

- (a) storing and retrieving information in the form of tuples (para.[0072] lines 1-4);
- (b) using the tuples to represent objects involved in one or more processes, wherein each object is produced by an entity (para [0076] lines 1-5); and
- (c) connecting the tuples to represent sequential events of the one or more processes (para [0076] lines 1-2).

Claim 2 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 1 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/765,642 Page 3

Art Unit: 2162

(d) associating each object with one or more semantic terms (fig.10 column "merchandise name", para [0104] lines 7-11); and

(e) allocating one tuple named with the semantic term for every association, the tuple containing the information provided by the object corresponding to the meaning of the semantic term (fig.11 column "shelf number", para.[0108] lines 3-5),

Claim 3 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 2 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

(f) indicating one or more of the semantic terms in order to represent a goal of the one or more processes (para.[0053] lines 5-9, para.[0076] lines 1-5).

Claim 4 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 2 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

(f) generating chains of events which terminate at the tuples corresponding to each semantic term (para.[0076] lines 1-5).

Claim 5 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 2 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

(f) generating semantic categories by aggregating the semantic terms (para.[0053] lines 5-7).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,642

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 6 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 1 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

(d) representing the conditions under which the entity can produce one or more of the objects by using tuple templates (para.[0104] lines 12-17).

Claims 7-12, 13-18 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-6 because claims 7-12, 13-18 claim substantially equivalent limitations as claims 1-6. Claims 1-6 claim limitations of the method. Claims 7-12 claim limitations of an apparatus. Claims 13-18 claim limitations of an article of manufacture.

Response to Argument

Applicants' arguments with respect to the specification objection and the 101 rejection are persuasive. The specification objection and the 101 rejection are withdrawn.

Applicants' argument regarding the 102(b) rejection based upon Ogasawara is not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicants' arguments.

With respect to applicant's argument that Ogasawara does not involve connecting tuples to represent sequential events of a process, the examiner respectfully disagrees and refers applicants to fig.12 and para [0075], [0076]. Ogasawara teaches the client picks pieces of merchandise to create one or more orders/shopping lists. The picking pieces of merchandise (tuples) is the sequentially events of the order process. And the pieces of merchandise in a shopping list are in the ordering number, so they

are connected. Therefore, Ogasawara teaches the connecting tuples to represent sequential events of a process.

Accordingly, examiner strongly believes that a prima facie case has been clearly establish with respect to the prior art rejection of the instant claims, given their broadest reasonable interpretation.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Nguyet Le whose telephone number is 571-270-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,642

Art Unit: 2162

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TL

Thu-Nguyet Le

LIBP

19 November 2007

John Brews

Page 6